I asked ChatGPT about "we never went to the...

JON RAPPOPORT APR 9 ♥ 140 55 7

Here's what it said

Read →

55 Comments

Share

Write a comment...

Top First

Burung Burung's Substack Apr 9

The temperature on the moon in the sunlight is over 100 celsius (boiling), in the shadows it is under -100 (100 beneath freezing).

Think about these temperatures.

Think about the equipment's ability to function in these temperatures.

The batteries.

The cameras and film.

It's very liberating when you crack it.

LIKE (9) REPLY (2) SHARE

Sam Apr 9

Sounds like Maine :-)

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Cynthia Silveri Visual Coffee Break Apr 10

😂

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Dan The path is here Apr 13

Well... we don't know what temperature can be out there...

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Martin T Robertson Apr 9

Thanks Jon, both for the confirmation that Ai is carefully corralled, and that the bullshit NASA experts I was recently conversing with, will do anything, not to end up, back on the streets.

Example: On X , when I tested their validity as expert 'scientists' who could use a one megabyte computer chip to control the massively complex dynamics, of getting to that 'cheese icon', then landing ...yadda yadda....and asking, why, after fifty years, they had lost all the architectural plans of those Saturn Rockets, and had not re-visited?

They sent me videos and unique graphic, slow-motion footage, of the lunar module, blasting off, from the moon's surface, complete with colourful, ejectile effects, of the upper caosule, separating frim the landing-apparatus, platform.

Twas astoundingly beautiful and one of the best CGI productions, that Hollywood were proud of.

Only problem was.....

You cannot photograph nor video, ejectile pyrotechnics, on a rock 'planet',

which has no Oxygen!!!!!

They then decided to desist from their fluid communications.

LIKE (9) REPLY (2) SHARE

Ekoh Apr 9

Thanks!

LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

grrlrocks Apr 9

In addition, HOW did they get that footage from a camera supposedly left on the Moon, and with no WiFi back then? ;)

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Burung Burung's Substack Apr 9 · *edited Apr 9*

Chat GPT has obviously been prepped. It's only proposing the arguments it can contest - which is what NASA do - or at least did until they started funding the flat earth society...

Maybe it just takes wiki as gospel...

If person #1 says they have been to the moon, and person #2 doesn't believe them, isn't the burden of proof on person #1? What proof does person #1 actually have?

They have photos and film (with a dividing line between foreground and background, the same technique used in 2001:ASO)

They have "moonrocks" (which could be treated asteroids - coincidentally Von Braun was in Antarctica collecting asteroids all throughout the 60s - oh, and the "moonrock" which was sent to the Netherlands for display was opened and identified as petrified wood)

They can fire a laser at the moon which reflects back off a retroreflector left by the apollo astronauts (although National Geographic (among others) reported in the late 50s that MIT were firing a laser at the moon and receiving the reflection with no apparatus on the moon)

And they have nothing else. They "lost" it all.

Buzz Aldrin's losing his marbles a bit and he's inches from confessing in his most recent interviews.

And no-one's been higher than a few hundred miles up since. A few hundred miles up is just beneath the belts of radiation. The moon is 238,000 miles away. The shuttle and ISS are 400 miles up at the most.

There's even footage of the astronauts pretending to be halfway there. Pretending.

And when they stop pretending and uncover the windows, you can see blue sky and a cloud out there, moments after Armstrong calls in that he's 131,000 miles out...

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wmuSXR4kKN4&t=470s

7 minutes and 30 seconds in, you'll see Putin being shown a more high tech AI analysing the photographs

The problem now though is how muddy the waters are. It's the most elaborate hoax in human history and obviously requires an equally elaborate cover-up. Which is still going on strong to this day.

There's an important lesson in all of this about lying.

LIKE (8) REPLY (3) SHARE

Courageous Lion Hear Me Roar - Jus Meum Tuebor Apr 9 · *edited Apr 9*

If person #1 says they have been to the moon, and person #2 doesn't believe them, isn't the burden of proof on person #1? What proof does person #1 actually have? What proof does EITHER of them have? I've seen Superman fly on the tube. Unless you were THERE, no one really has proof. Due to technology constraints of 1969, I'm inclined to believe they lied to us about it because they lie to us about most everything else.

LIKE (3) REPLY (2) SHARE

Burung Burung's Substack Apr 10

The first act was a party claiming to have been to the moon.

The burden of proof is on this party.

You can't say "I've been to the moon, prove that I haven't"

Ultimately if you weren't there, you don't know anything for sure - but if it went to a court setting, it'd be person #1 on the stand first presenting evidence, with person #2 ready to cross-examine the evidence.

And none of it stands up to cross-examination.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Courageous Lion Hear Me Roar - Jus Meum Tuebor Apr 10

That makes the most sense yet.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Jess Apr 10

I commented to a waitress Monday that it was a shame that the sky was overcast. "Whatever, I'm just going to watch the eclipse on television."

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Freedom Apr 9

You had me at "Buzz Aldrin's losing his marbles a bit..." MORE than a bit!

Just watch his face in this most hilarious video of him EVER:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HGFcC5IZyvs

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Burung Burung's Substack Apr 10

I see your face and raise you his words!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BgDJXK3P0Ow

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Courageous Lion Hear Me Roar - Jus Meum Tuebor Apr 10

" It's the most elaborate hoax in human history" It may be the most elaborate in one way, but then again, it may have been so easy for them to pull it off in a desert that it makes the hoax of 911 a lot more elaborate. I'm waiting to see how they are going to pull off an alien invasion. Some of these recent "wildfires" are another major hoax that needs to be exposed big time.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Turbonutz Turbonutz's Newsletter Apr 9

I love your take on AI. It's especially exciting when your article is read to me by the substack AI voice. It just adds an extra layer of weirdness to the whole situation. How soon until the voices start to critique what they're asked to read?

LIKE (6) REPLY SHARE

Dan Apr 9

Grammer may be ok.

But AI got all, or almost all, of the physics wrong.

Therefore, its arguments in favor of official Apollo 11 are not valid.

LIKE (5) REPLY SHARE

Courageous Lion Hear Me Roar - Jus Meum Tuebor Apr 9

The Truman Show is turning into a documentary right along side of Minority Report!

LIKE (5) REPLY SHARE

Sean Garrisson Apr 9

Hey GPt, What are the top 10 detailed scenarios that the globalist technocrats cant accomplish that will not allow them to succeed in their top down world domination plans?

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Sean Garrisson Apr 9

1) Too busy spying on one another to be top idiot and forgot to actually dominate the world

2) Claus Snobs space suit gets stolen and sold on the grey market, and he has no more clothes

3) Bill Gates get schooled by new kid in town that proves viruses are now friendly to all people, people love them and can turn them into delicious cheeseburgers or smash pies at will and now vaccines are completely unnecessary like they always have been in the first place

4) Elon has been discovered he was always an advanced robot and his jealous programming wont allow for anyone else to be connected to the cloud except for himself.

5) somebody forgot to pay the electric bill

LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

Gene Bray genebray Apr 9

Hammer on Jon . Here's proof we never went to the moon https://youtu.be/BI_ZehPOMwI?si=ktJzLiin-4n5JQ-h

LIKE (4) REPLY (2) SHARE

Professor Lulu Fuzzbean Apr 9

exactly...AI is only as good as the ideological moron who programmed it...it's already proving to be a disaster ("LAVENDER" ...killer AI of murdering israhell)

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Freedom Apr 9

For something much more lively, try the "Astronauts Gone Wild" interviews. Hilarious.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ABLbg2kvP80

LIKE REPLY (2) SHARE

ZRENO Apr 10

Yeah they closed this persons account.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Freedom Apr 10 · *edited Apr 10*

Interesting. Maybe too many people from here suddenly started watching it, and/or some of the agents here reported it, and YouTube closed it. Try to find others with that title. They are always available, coming and going. 53 mins long. Bart Sibrel

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Cynthia Silveri Visual Coffee Break Apr 10

"The video is no longer available because the YouTube acct associated with it has been terminated"

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Freedom Apr 10

Here's another account with it:

https://youtu.be/SpNYmb_FCz4?si=Hcp-sloWCTo6JYvo

If someone in the future reads this and it's gone too, might also try other video channels like Rumble, Vimeo, etc.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Cynthia Silveri Visual Coffee Break Apr 10

🙏

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Steve C Apr 9

Well , if you're okay with "the consensus," I guess you're ok.......

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Rhiannon Apr 9

What does Benny think about AI? I want to talk to Benny.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Ekoh Apr 9

Our foe is formidable, but not infallible. At some point in the future, the earth will undergo another natural catastrophe and life on earth will once again be disrupted. When? Even AI couldn't correctly answer this one. In the meantime, 😉.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Burung Burung's Substack Apr 9 · *edited Apr 9*

Imagine a debate between rich man and poor man.

Poor man is winning the debate, rich man is against the ropes before a door behind poor man opens.

100 people come in through the door and stand beside poor man.

"We agree with everything poor man says, and we think that the earth is flat!"

These people hijack poor man's argument and the momentum shifts.

NASA are geniuses.

At PR

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Lisa Arata Lisa's Substack Apr 10

Great characterization of what happens when someone's idea has power that goes against the prevailing energies. Do you think your scenario, where people hijack the point and smear it, has other examples we could all think about?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Robin Bate Robin's Substack Apr 9

I'd ask about moon , how did they manage a phone call with Nixon. Is the physics in space the same as physics on earth. Did the craft get warm from sun on journey to and from moon. How did such a far distant craft manage to hook up with orbiting craft, and once hooked up how did the craft fire rockets, with no oxygen, and set a course to Earth.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Freedom Apr 9

Jon, it would be quite appropriate for you to have ChatGPT cross-examine itself, and publish those results. you would know the best questions for it to ask itself. Thank you.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Timmy Taes Timmy's Substack Apr 9

AI may be here to stay, but humans arent'.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Multipolonius Apr 9

I for one ,will announce humanity's Gotterdammerung if Jon himself falls in love with AI. And it seems at this point he is clearly smitten......

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Gene Deutscher Gene's Substack Apr 9

Well done, Jon.

The classroom of the future. Orwell big screens and AI instruction. No more NEA creatures in the classroom but it will be worse.

I am not a robot.

Ah but, yes you are.

From the beach....

😊🇧🇷🌴🏖️⛱️

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Dan Apr 10

Sounds like AI, but with subnormal grammar:

https://conservativefiringline.com/democrat-sheila-jackson-lee-thinks-the-moon-is-made-of-gases/

The scary part is that she was once the ranking member of the House Space and Aeronautics Subcommittee. Yet she knows little about the celestial event on April 8.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Dan Apr 10

Example of grammar ok, but physics wrong:

"Argument: Radiation hazards: Critics argue that the Van Allen radiation belts surrounding the Earth would have posed lethal risks to astronauts, making a journey through them impossible.

Rebuttal: While the Van Allen belts do contain high levels of radiation, the Apollo missions were carefully planned to minimize exposure. The spacecraft traveled through the thinnest parts of the belts at high speed, reducing the time astronauts were exposed to radiation. Additionally, the spacecraft's aluminum hull provided protection against the majority of radiation encountered."

Of course, no proof is given for any of the rebuttal facts.

In particular, the aluminum hull would have been essentially no protection:

Science in Action #355: Earth's Radiation Belt (1959) | California Academy of Sciences

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=szh5cuzfjN4

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Mitch Energy from Nothing Apr 10

Impressive. How many high school students today could produce that (without ChatGPT assistance)?

Side note: Did we really go to the moon? A tiresome A/B trap of a question. Ingo Swann's remote viewing of the moon (among other things)...THAT is some interesting stuff. He details it in his book "Penetration":

https://www.amazon.com/Penetration-Special-Updated-Ingo-Swann/dp/1949214648

Maybe I should ask ChatGPT about that topic.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Bonnie Tarlton Apr 10

I'd love to know ITS reply!

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Mitch Energy from Nothing Apr 10

Okay, here it is.

I asked:

"What is the likelihood that Ingo Swann's account of remote viewing the moon in his book "Penetration" was factually accurate?"

And BCB (Big Chat Brother, aka Silly Rabbit, Tricks are for Kids, aka ChatGPT) said:

"1. Nature of Remote Viewing: Remote viewing is a controversial practice that involves attempting to gather information about a distant or unseen target using extrasensory perception (ESP) or "sensing" with the mind. The scientific community generally regards remote viewing as pseudoscience due to a lack of empirical evidence supporting its effectiveness.

2. Subjective Experience: Remote viewing experiences are inherently subjective and difficult to verify objectively. They often rely on personal interpretation and perception, which can be influenced by a variety of factors such as beliefs, expectations, and biases.

3. Lack of Independent Confirmation: Swann's account of remote viewing the moon in "Penetration" lacks independent confirmation or validation from other sources. Without corroborating evidence or verification from external sources, it's challenging to determine the accuracy of his claims.

4. Controversial Nature of the Book: "Penetration" is a controversial book that delves into topics such as UFOs, extraterrestrial life, and government conspiracies. The controversial nature of the book may lead some to question the credibility of its contents.

5. Personal Beliefs and Skepticism: Whether one believes in the validity of remote viewing or not, personal beliefs and skepticism can heavily influence one's interpretation of Swann's account. Those who are more skeptical of paranormal phenomena may be inclined to dismiss his claims outright, while others may be more open to considering the possibility.

Ultimately, the likelihood of the factual accuracy of Swann's account of remote viewing the moon in "Penetration" is subjective and dependent on individual beliefs, skepticism, and the availability of supporting evidence. Without independent verification or scientific validation, it remains a matter of personal interpretation.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Bonnie Tarlton Apr 10

Very revealing. It seems Silly Rabbit has failed the test. Ordered the book. Thanks for the answer.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Renee Far Side of Fringe Apr 9

Yes it makes a lot of sense

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Bonnie Tarlton Apr 9

Where are these computers that make speedy replies in 40 sec or less... and grammatically correct?

LIKE (1) REPLY (2) SHARE

Sam Apr 9

They are already being used for online shopping. We understand you... here is what suits you best. It put me right off, but I'm an oddity

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Thomas Apr 9

type it a question, here:

https://chat.openai.com/

soon, it will be embedded everywhere

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Catherine Hazur Apr 9

"GEE WHIZ!! AI is sooooooooo smart and has ALL the answers....or so the controlled opposition wants to tell us. Because. IT'S HERE TO STAY

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Anya K. Apr 9

Jon: "The content of the paper is NOT the point." Comments: "Here's why that paper is full of shit." Lol

LIKE (1) REPLY

Isabella Valentina Apr 19

According to NASA astronaut Don Pettit, he would "go back to the moon in a nano second" but unfortunately, NASA destroyed "that technology and it's a painful process to build it back again."

NASA also destroyed all the telemetry tapes of the moon landing. Why? Because they recorded over them to reuse for - something more important?

OR

“Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from magic.” --Arthur C. Clarke

Of course, if that technology is no longer advanced, the curtain is pulled back.

By the bye, my favorite conversations with AI are about God. Most interesting . . .

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Louie Apr 18

Ah, the magic of GPT strikes again! It’s like having a backup dancer who always knows the right moves, even if they’re doing the robot to a salsa beat. You ask a question, and GPT pulls out an answer from its virtual hat faster than you can say “Abracadabra!” It’s like having a cheat code for conversation—just don’t tell anyone you’re using it, or they might think you’re more AI than human. So, whether you’re pondering life’s mysteries or just wondering what to have for dinner, GPT’s got your back with a stored answer hotter than yesterday’s leftovers.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Dan The path is here Apr 13

If there is any trade of “intelligence” in AI, it is the artificial replacement of the intelligentsia. A part of the population who invest their time and energy to develop the mind skills necessary to go beyond mundane existence till next morning.

AI is already wiping out the need to think.

One-click cheating on a school essay is 1% of the iceberg above the water. Students won’t benefit much from this. Now, imagine decision makers, CEOs, politicians, ideological leaders, all those who pay out their “salary” from your pocket to the tune of, say, $200,000 per month. These people have never worked and do not have any intention to work. Adding one magic button to their desk is what they need most. Now they can legitimately pretend that they “do” something and in large volumes. They will show you 200-page reports every day to prove how brilliant and irreplaceable they are.

They only need to do this for a few years. Once AI becomes a household name, they will restrict access to it and they will be the only ones left who uses it. Within a year or two, the term “AI” will be erased from all resources and your attention will be directed elsewhere. Just like they did it with hydroxychloroquine...

Then... they will not be responsible for anything. Anonymous “experts” suggested, they only followed guidelines. Here is a pile of 200-p reports to confirm that they are innocent.

Not a trace of intelligence. Only ultrafast hardware and pretty primitive software. Extremely powerful deliverables, though: https://thepathishere.substack.com/p/ai-does-not-exist-proof

LIKE REPLY SHARE

David the Tree Man Apr 12

We need to keep hammering on the 'we never went to the moon' issue. Right out of the gate, the best source IMHO is Sibrel.com, where author Bart Sibrel lays out quite the compelling case for a wholly [but masterfully] faked Apollo moon landing. The underlying thesis being that 'if they lied about the moon landing, what else have they been lying about all these years?' It's well worth pondering.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

ZRENO Apr 10

What if you asked it about all the credible evidence for fla... I mean the fake moon landing.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

© 2024 Jon Rappoport • Privacy • Terms • Collection notice

Start Writing Get the app

Substack is the home for great culture